

299

Michael Ely

John Brichtow vs. James Brichtow decd.

The Plaintiff being solemnly called, but not appearing, it is therefore ordered that he be now sued and that the defendant recover of him the sum of three dollars damages on his costs by him about his defense in default most strongly sustained - and away he takes \$3.

Lawrence Ward

against

David Purce vs. of Alexander M. Nichols - decd.

John Bartlett

of

John Brichtow

This day came the parties by their attorneys and it is to the satisfaction of the Court to be continued. It is therefore ordered that the case be continued until the next Court and the case of Ward vs. Purce for the

John Brichtow for the benefit of Samuel Nicholas...

against

Francis Ridley Administrator D. B. now with the will annexed, of William B. B. deceased

This day came the parties by their attorneys and through a Jury to wit, Lewis Lewis, David Wallace, Abraham Williams, Henry Schow, Matthew Balcut, James Mellick, Joseph M. Parker, Charles Harrison and John Barrett who were sworn and sworn and Oswin Richs was was elected trial and after the trial to speak upon the issue joined brought here into Court in the following words, To wit, and by consent of the Plaintiff his attorney and with the assent of the Court, the defendant filed a plea of fully answered, to which the Plt. by his attorney replied and thereupon issue being joined the Jury rendered their verdict as follows: We of the Jury find for the plaintiff and award him four dollars and seventy cents, with interest thereon from the 1st of Decr 1812 till paid. We also find that the defendant held fully answered all the assets of his testator which have come to his hands to the amount of one hundred and thirty four dollars and seventy cents and interest thereon from the 1st of Decr 1812 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of forty four dollars and seventy cents and interest thereon from the 1st of Decr 1812 till paid the same to be paid in form aforesaid. And his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended, to be paid of the goods and chattels of the defendant to be taken to the hands of the defendant to be taken to the hands of the said defendant in money \$44.77.

Rea and Blackwell

against

This day came the parties by the attorney with drawing her plea. Said plea was that she came be just therefore Plaintiff recover against the defendant five cents with legal interest thereon from the date in the declaration mentioned and the costs expended and the said defendant

Charles Harrison T. M.

against

Harry Baxter T. M.

This day came the parties by the defendant by his attorney he is allowed to which the Plaintiff by his attorney being found, came also a Jury to wit, William, William Phillips, William George B. Edwards, John Moss, William Usher Terry, who being elected trial and after the trial brought here into Court a verdict as follows: We of the Jury find for the Plaintiff five dollars with interest from the 1st of Decr 1814 till paid. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant pay to the Plaintiff the sum of twenty five dollars from the 1st of Decr 1814 till paid and his costs by him about his defense and the said defendant in money \$25.00.

Joseph Hill

against

John Brichtow

This day came the parties by his attorney relinquishing his former plea, and that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of one hundred dollars with legal interest from the 1st of Febuary 1814 till paid the said sum to be paid by him about his suit in this behalf expended. But this judgment is not to be paid until the 1st of Febuary 1815, at which time fifty cents paid 19th of June 1815.